CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA
CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING

OCTOBER 18, 2011

INVOCATION:
Assistant City Manager gave the invocation.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG:
Councilmember Boysen led the Pledge of Allegiance.

CALL TO ORDER:
Mayor Lavagnino called the Regular Meeting of the City Council to order at 6:30 p.m.

ROLL CALL:
MEMBERS PRESENT
Councilmembers Boysen, Cordero, Orach, Patino, and Mayor Lavagnino.

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT
City Manager Ness, City Attorney Trujillo, Assistant City Manager Haydon, Fire Chief Jones, Police Chief Macagni, Director of Public Works/City Engineer Whitehead, Director of Community Development Appel, Director of Administrative Services Visé, Director of Utilities Sweet, Director of Recreation and Parks Posada, Assistant City Attorney Sinco, Senior Code Compliance Officer Moreno, and Deputy City Clerk Garietz.

PROCLAMATION – CORPORAL ROBERT PRESCOTT
Mayor Lavagnino read a proclamation recognizing and commending Corporal Robert Prescott who was the recipient of the California State Firefighters' Association Medal of Valor for his courageous and selfless action on November 10, 2010, that resulted in the rescue of a child from a burning building. Corporal Prescott accepted the Proclamation.

RESOLUTION HONORING RON FERRARI
Councilmember Patino read Resolution No. 2011-121 recognizing and commending Ron Ferrari for his eleven years of dedicated service to the Santa Maria community as a member of the Code Compliance Board and wishing him the best in his future endeavors. The resolution was adopted on motion by Councilmember Patino, seconded by Councilmember Orach, and carried on the following vote:

AYES:	Councilmembers Boysen, Cordero, Orach, Patino, and Mayor Lavagnino
NOES:	None
ABSENT:	None
ABSTAIN:	None

Resolution No. 2011-121 adopted. A RESOLUTION RECOGNIZING AND COMMENDING RON FERRARI FOR HIS OUTSTANDING SERVICE TO THE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA AS A MEMBER OF THE CODE COMPLIANCE BOARD.

Mr. Ferrari was not present to accept the Resolution. Assistant City Attorney Sinco accepted the Resolution on his behalf.

VOLUNTEER OF THE MONTH
Councilmember Cordero presented a gift to Humberto Espinoza, the Recreation and Parks Volunteer of the Month for October 2011, for his dedication and commitment as head coach for the Recreation and Parks Department's Summer Youth Basketball League.

PROCLAMATION – FILIPINO AMERICAN HISTORY MONTH
Councilmember Boysen read a proclamation declaring the month of October 2011, as "Filipino American History Month" in the City of Santa Maria and recognizing this is a significant time for Filipino Americans in the history of the United States. The proclamation was accepted by Rosalie Marquez.

ANNOUNCEMENT
Mayor Lavagnino announced that City Manager Ness had informed the City Council of his plans to retire on December 30, 2011. He commented that City Manager Ness was the finest City Manager in the State of California and had brought the City through some very difficult economic times. Without his guidance, the City would not have gotten through it. He had done a marvelous job for the City, and counted him as one of his great friends. He thanked City Manger Ness for his service.

PUBLIC COMMENT
There were no requests to speak.

CONSENT CALENDAR
Councilmember Cordero requested that Item 3F be pulled for comment. Councilmember Patino requested Items 3F and 3G be pulled for comment.

Item 3F – Agreement for Countywide Free Library System for 2011-12.
Councilmember Cordero stated that he had received some calls questioning the reason for cutting the City's Library hours. He asked City Manager Ness to comment.

City Manager Ness replied that the annual agreement with the County of Santa Barbara was for the City's performance of operating the library system in Zone 3. The County Board of Supervisors cut funding to libraries by 15 percent. The City also received cuts to its library budget from State and Federal sources. Normally, $950,000 was set aside for Zone 3 library services with 73 percent of those funds going to the main library, the remaining to the branch libraries. Because of these cuts, it necessitated the City to cut library hours to meet the budget for the branch libraries, and reduce the main library hours to meet the City's budget as well.

Councilmember Patino commented that the City had a per capita distribution of $5.85, which was reduced by $1.03 from last year. It was distressing that the City has had to cut hours, but with the cuts at the County, State and Federal levels, it left the City with no choice. The library was still open a significant amount of time.

3G – Office of Traffic Safety Driving Under the Influence (D.U.I.) Enforcement Awareness Program.
Councilmember Patino asked about the high incidences of fatal collisions, driving under the influence, and hit-and-runs in the City. The City was identified as one of the top cities per capita with hit-and-run accidents. She asked who were these hit-and-run drivers.

Police Chief Macagni replied that the majority of the hit-and-run accidents were caused by undocumented immigrants. The reduction in the grant funding would not negatively impact the department. The Police Department would have to conduct fewer D.U.I. checkpoints, but they would continue to do saturation patrols.

Councilmember Patino asked how the new law the Governor signed would impact the City with saturation patrol or D.U.I. checkpoints.

Police Chief Macagni replied that there would be an impact as the City would be restricted from impounding the cars of unlicensed drivers as they come through the D.U.I. checkpoints. It would not affect other traffic stops or enforcement activity. If an individual comes through a checkpoint and they are unlicensed, they would be pulled over and issued a citation for misdemeanor driving without a license. An attempt would need to be made to get in touch with the registered owner, verify if the registered owner was licensed, and then release the vehicle to the registered owner at the checkpoint. However, at the culmination of the checkpoint, if they were not able to verify the

registered owner and no one picked up the vehicle, it would be impounded. Procedures were being written as to how the Police Department would handle these cases.

Mayor Lavagnino asked if an unlicensed driver contacted a licensed driver, whether or not it was the registered owner, would the vehicle be released and driven away from the checkpoint?

Police Chief Macagni replied that if a licensed driver showed up, the Police Department would be required to release the vehicle. However, documentation would be taken to note the name of the person the vehicle was being released to for liability purposes. The person accepting the vehicle would be fully liable; and if they later returned the vehicle to the unlicensed driver and it was involved in an accident, that person would be liable. The new law would require more resources and take valuable enforcement time.

Councilmember Cordero asked if the Police Department had the responsibility to locate the registered owner of the vehicle and provide a period of time for which the vehicle could be picked up. He also asked how the Police Department knew that hit-and-run accidents were attributed to illegal, undocumented individuals.

Police Chief Macagni responded that it was the Police Department's responsibility to locate the registered owner of the vehicle. He stated that the individuals who were involved in the hit-and-run accidents were often caught, and that was how they were able to determine that the individuals were illegal and undocumented.

Consent Calendar Items 3A through 3J were approved on motion by Councilmember Orach, seconded by Councilmember Patino, and carried on the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Cordero, Orach, Patino, and Mayor Lavagnino
NOES: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

A. ORDINANCES
The reading in full of all ordinances and resolutions was waived. Ordinances on the Consent Calendar were adopted by the same vote cast at the first reading unless City Council indicated otherwise.

B. MINUTES
The Minutes of the Regular City Council meeting of September 20, 2011, were approved as submitted.

C. WARRANTS
Warrant Nos. 168390 to 168903 totaling $3,377,333.46 were ordered ratified subject to having been certified as being in conformity with the budget and having been approved for payment by the Director of Administrative Services. Warrant Nos. 160522, 160854, 161239, 161258, 164106, 167787, 168440, 168550, 168587, 168588, 168589, 168603, and 168846 were cancelled.

D. PAYROLL
Payment of payroll was ordered ratified subject to having been certified by the proper Department Heads, as shown on records on file in the Department of Administrative Services and having been approved for payment by the Director of Administrative Services.

E. EMERGENCY PLANS
Resolution No. 2011-122 was adopted approving an agreement with Category Five Professional Consultants, Inc., for the preparation of emergency plans.

Resolution No. 2011-122 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING AN AGREEMENT FOR THE PREPARATION OF EMERGENCY PLANS AND AUTHORIZING THE FIRE CHIEF TO

EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH CATEGORY FIVE PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANTS, INC.

F. COUNTYWIDE FREE LIBRARY
Resolution No. 2011-123 was adopted approving an agreement with the County of Santa Barbara for the operation of the Countywide Free Library System for 2011-12.

Resolution No. 2011-123 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, THE CITY OF LOMPOC, AND THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA TO PROVIDE FOR THE OPERATION OF A COUNTYWIDE FREE LIBRARY SYSTEM.

G. D.U.I. ENFORCEMENT AND AWARENESS PROGRAM
Resolution No. 2011-124 was adopted authorizing the allocation of grant funds to the Police Department for a Driving Under the Influence Enforcement and Awareness Program.

Resolution No. 2011-124 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, APPROPRIATING FUNDING UNDER THE CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF TRAFFIC SAFETY GRANT PROGRAM #20131.

H. 2-HOUR LIMITED PARKING
Resolution No. 2011-125 was adopted removing a 2-hour parking limit and establishing a no-stopping zone on Palm Court Drive.

Resolution No. 2011-125 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, REMOVING AND ESTABLISHING PARKING RESTRICTIONS ON PALM COURT DRIVE PURSUANT TO SECTION 7-5.16 AND 7-5.14 OF THE SANTA MARIA MUNICIPAL CODE.

I. NO-STOPPING ZONE
Resolution No. 2011-126 was adopted establishing a no-stopping zone on East Vickie Avenue just south of the western right-of-way of North College Drive.

Resolution No. 2011-126 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING A NO-STOPPING ZONE ON EAST VICKIE PURSUANT TO SECTION 7-5.16 OF THE SANTA MARIA MUNICIPAL CODE.

J. NO-STOPPING ZONE
Resolution No. 2011-127 was adopted establishing a no-stopping zone on Lorencita Drive between Wisteria Drive and Espalier Drive.

Resolution No. 2011-127 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING A NO-STOPPING ZONE ON LORENCITA DRIVE PURSUANT TO SECTION 7-5.16 OF THE SANTA MARIA MUNICIPAL CODE.

ONE-YEAR REVIEW OF AN AMENDMENT TO TITLE 12 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE PERTAINING TO THE SIGN ORDINANCE, SECTION 12-34 CONCERNING SANDWICH BOARD SIGNS. Director of Community Development Appel gave the staff report. On August 17, 2010, the City Council heard a presentation regarding various sections of the sign ordinance. The sandwich board sign section of the ordinance was limited to the Downtown Specific Plan (DTSP) area. It had sunset, which prohibited the use of that type of signage. Concerns were raised by business owners who were unaware that their sandwich board signs were prohibited. Staff was directed to return with various amendments to the ordinance. The Municipal Code sign text amendment was adopted on December 7, 2010. Staff was directed to return to the City Council with a report prior to the one-year extension of the sandwich board signs expiring and to investigate the use of sandwich board signs in areas outside the DTSP. Staff notified all businesses with signs that were prohibited. Code Compliance and Park Ranger staff

made courtesy visits to the businesses. The effort to inform and educate the business community was successful. Code Compliance and Park Ranger staff reported investigating 128 total violations of the sign code. Of these, 16 received Compliance Orders, but were resolved without requiring further administrative action, and full compliance was achieved within one month of the ordinance going into effect. During the initial enforcement activity, sandwich board signs were found throughout the City, even though they were legal only within the DTSP area. The recent amendment to the sign ordinance required all sandwich board signs to be removed by January 6, 2012, which postponed the sunset clause in the ordinance for a second time. The City Council could decide to remove the sandwich board signs from the DTSP area (allowing Section 12-34.05(g) to sunset). If the sunset was extended, the Council could 1) extend the sunset clause for another year or longer; 2) remove the sunset clause altogether so that sandwich board signs could be allowed permanently in the DTSP area; or 3) consider expansion of sandwich board signs in commercial, industrial or both zoning districts. Allowing all commercial and industrial properties to use sandwich board signs would be inconsistent with the objectives of the existing sign ordinance.

Councilmember Boysen asked who used the banner signs and how long they could keep them up; and if consideration had been given to allow sandwich board signs in some areas for certain period of times.

Director of Community Development Appel replied that short-term advertising had been replaced with the banner signs. They were allowed for up to 60 days within a six month period, and back-to-back for 120 days. With limited resources, allowing sandwich board signs in certain areas for certain periods would be too difficult to regulate.

Nancy Stewart spoke about how the Santa Maria Beautiful Committee was instrumental in getting this issue rolling and that sandwich board signs were a major issue at that time. She was glad to get rid of the bow feather signs, and supported the sunset for the sandwich board signs.

Jack Owen spoke in support of the sunset for sandwich board signs. There was no place in Santa Maria for sandwich board signs. Code Compliance had done a great job in getting the signs off the streets.

Councilmember Cordero commented that he felt that sandwich board signs were a safety issue and there was no place in the City that would accommodate this type of sign. He was in favor of the sunset.

Councilmember Orach commented that the City looked very nice and the sidewalks were clear so disabled and biking individuals were not having to dodge the signs.

Councilmember Patino commented that sandwich boards signs should not be allowed only in the DTSP area, but be allowed for all businesses and in the commercial areas. There were some commercial businesses that were so far away from the street, that the only way people knew the business was there was by use of a sandwich board sign. However, some of the sandwich board signs were ugly and there was no way to legislate ugly. She asked how Caltrans enforced sandwich board signs in the State right-of-way.

Director of Community Development Appel replied that Caltrans did not enforce these types of right-of-way encroachments.

Mayor Lavagnino commented that he wanted to extend the sunset allowing sandwich board signs Citywide with specific standards for the signs. There were businesses on Broadway that were difficult to see from the road. He would want to have standards for what a sandwich board sign would look like, what size they would be, how they were painted, and their height and width to be defined.

City Manager Ness commented for clarification that if the Council were to vote to allow sandwich board signs Citywide, with standards, they would not be allowed on Broadway and Main Street. They were State highways and Caltrans did not allow signs in their

right-of-way. The City could not grant permission for sandwich boards signs on Main Street and Broadway because it was not the City's jurisdiction.

Councilmember Cordero made a motion to no longer allow sandwich board signs in the DTSP area and let Municipal Code Section 12-34.05(g) sunset. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Orach, and carried on the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Cordero, and Orach
NOES: Councilmember Patino and Mayor Lavagnino
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

PROPOSAL TO REPLACE THE CODE COMPLIANCE BOARD WITH HEARING OFFICERS. City Attorney Trujillo gave the staff report. The Code Compliance Board was established in January 2000 and was comprised of five City residents. It has functioned since that time and served the purpose for which it was created. Budget cuts had taken a toll on Code Compliance with the loss of several positions and the retirement of the Code Compliance Supervisor. These positions were not being refilled. Code Compliance was now being asked to do more with fewer resources. Staff believes that replacing the Code Compliance Board with Hearing Officers is a cost-saving measure that will improve efficiency in the operations of the Code Compliance Division. Most cities and counties in California with code enforcement staff use Hearing Officers to provide due process review as opposed to an appointed citizen board. Since the staff report was prepared, staff identified three other cities that have a citizen board review code enforcement actions: Lafayette, San Jose and Santa Clara. There were many cost saving benefits to using Hearing Officers, instead of the Code Compliance Board: 1) the procedural requirements of the "Brown Act" would no longer apply; therefore, there would be no need to prepare notices, agendas, or take meeting minutes and thus eliminating the need for a Board Secretary; 2) holding public hearings would no longer be necessary which requires time to present evidence, allow the party to respond, and for the Board Members to discuss the case and render a decision. Additionally, hearings could only be scheduled and conducted monthly. This often resulted in lengthy meetings if there were a lot of cases to be heard, and incurrence of staff overtime. Utilizing Hearing Officers would take less time to conduct hearings and they could be scheduled more frequently and with more flexibility during a normal work day avoiding the incurrence of overtime. Staff recommended that the Hearing Officers be appointed by the City Manager rather than the City Council. The Hearing Officers would serve at-will, rather than for set terms, and without compensation. Should a hearing officer render a decision that the party feels is unfair or excessive, the decision could be appealed to the Superior Court under a State statute that provides for an expedited review at a cost of $25. Training would be provided for all incoming Hearing Officers on their duties, scope of powers, and the extent of their discretion. The City Attorney met with each of the Board Members to discuss Staff's proposal and to listen to any comments or objections. None of the Code Compliance Board members expressed any strong objection to the proposal; although several of them had mixed-feelings about replacing the Code Compliance Board with Hearing Officers.

Councilmember Cordero asked if the code compliance Hearing Officer would be similar to what was done in the Police Department for towing of vehicles. He asked that if the citizens would be assured their due process, and that these changes would not minimize the City's ability to protect its case if it was taken through the appeal process. He also asked if direction was given to the City Manager to appoint the Hearing Officers, would there be some qualifications required or training provided to them.

City Attorney Trujillo replied that the process would be similar to what was done in the Police Department; however, depending how complex the case was, it may be more involved than what was done with the towing of vehicles. Property owners would be given their due process rights to an appeal which was required by Government Code 53069.4. It provided for an appeal before a hearing officer and for an expedited appeal to the Superior Court. His proposal did not affect the case, its strength, or the ability for the City to uphold its decision in court. Anyone who would be recommended to be placed on a Hearing Officer approved list would be required to go through the training

offered by the City Attorney's office. He commented that he had an opportunity to meet with four of the current serving Code Compliance Board Members. They had done a tremendous job volunteering their time; but unfortunately, difficult decisions had to be made in this economic climate.

Councilmember Orach commented this would expedite the opportunity for the people to meet with a Hearing Officer in a more timely fashion. It seemed like a win-win situation for both the City and the parties involved in a case. The cost for the appeal to the Superior Court was very reasonable versus hundreds of dollars to appeal.

Councilmember Boysen asked how many Hearing Officers would be available.

City Attorney Trujillo commented that ideally he would prefer to have three to four Hearing Officers serving.

Larry Tanner, Code Compliance Board Member, commented that he understood the financial constraints the City was dealing with; however, he felt the five-member board serves the citizens of the City better than one person sitting as a Hearing Officer. Some citizens may feel that the deck was stacked against them. He asked that the Code Compliance Board remain as it existed presently.

Jack Owen, Code Compliance Board Member, commented that he had mixed feelings about disbanding the Board. As a group, they were able to come to reasonable and fair decisions with the diverse Board. He understood the staff constraints and recognized that it would save the City a lot of money. He hoped the Council would decide to keep the Code Compliance Board as it provided a more diverse and balanced hearing process. Either way, he would be willing to continue to serve as a Hearing Officer or as a Board Member.

Nancy Stewart, Code Compliance Board Member, commented that she had served on the Board since its inception. The Code Compliance staff was terrific. Often times, people had complied with the complaint before the matter came before the Board. A Hearing Officer could handle these matters. She strongly suggested that a staff member not be assigned as a Hearing Officer. She believed it costs the City a lot of time and money to maintain the Code Compliance Board, and supported the use of Hearing Officers.

Councilmember Boysen asked Ms. Stewart how often does the board go against staff's recommendation.

Nancy Stewart commented that she will sometimes vote against fining a property owner, but that was not very often. The Board wanted to see the issue resolved.

Jack Owen responded the Code Compliance Board got the worst of the worst. By the time the property owners come before the Board, staff had done a tremendous amount of work and provided excellent documentation. He could not remember having ever voted against a code compliance violation.

Councilmember Patino commented that she had served on the Code Compliance Board and saw the value in having a Board. There was concern about the budget and the need to follow the Brown Act. It would be expeditious to have Hearing Officers handle the code compliance cases. She hoped that the current members would consider serving as Hearing Officers with the knowledge they would bring. She asked that the issue be revisited in one year.

Councilmember Cordero asked if the Hearing Officer would have the ability to postpone a hearing to ask additional questions of the City Attorney's office, and then render a decision.

City Attorney Trujillo indicated that the Hearing Officer would have the ability to continue a hearing and ask for additional information prior to making a decision.

Councilmember Boysen asked that something be added to the Ordinance to support the Code Compliance Board Members' recommendation that the Hearing Officers not be City employees.

City Attorney Trujillo replied that it was not required under the equal protection or due process provisions of the law. In the City of San Luis Obispo, the Hearing Officer is the Code Compliance Supervisor. The process worked and if the aggrieved property owner felt they had received a bad decision from the City's Hearing Officer, they could appeal it to the Superior Court. He noted there was a clerical error in the Ordinance in Section 1-8.07(a) the word "shall" was stricken and it should be retained.

Ordinance No. 2011-08 amending Chapters 1-8, 1-9, and 5-6 of the Municipal Code was introduced by title only for first reading and continued to the next meeting for second reading and adoption on a motion made by Councilmember Patino, seconded by Councilmember Orach, and carried on the following vote:

AYES: Councilmembers Cordero, Orach, Patino and Mayor Lavagnino
NOES: Councilmember Boysen
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

Ordinance No. 2011-08 introduced. AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING SECTIONS OF CHAPTERS 1-8, 1-9 AND 5-6 OF THE SANTA MARIA MUNICIPAL CODE, PERTAINING TO REPLACE THE CODE COMPLIANCE BOARD WITH HEARING OFFICERS.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

City Manager Ness reported on items for the next regularly scheduled meeting of November 1, 2011, including an item Mayor Pro Tem Patino asked to be agendized regarding a discussion about the establishment of a Police Citizens Review Board and a presentation of the GEM Award.

ORAL REPORTS BY COUNCILMEMBERS

Councilmember Boysen had no report.

Councilmember Patino reported that she attended the Recovery Day event, did a radio broadcast with Ben Heighes regarding Peace Week events, the City of Santa Maria employees' BBQ, Free for the Weekend event, the Kern County Fair, various Peace week events, the VTC new building dedication, the groundbreaking ceremony for the Santa Maria River bridge, the Autumn Arts Grapes and Grains reception and festival, the Mayors' Ball, a Minerva Club luncheon, the COLAB dinner, the Joint Meeting with the City Council and Block Grants Advisory Committee, and the Santa Barbara County Energy Coalition meeting.

Mayor Lavagnino reported that he attended an Airport Board meeting, the Central Coast Water Authority meeting, the City of Santa Maria employees' BBQ, the Cruzin' for Life car show and dinner, a Marian Hospital Board meeting, the VTC ribbon cutting ceremony, the Santa Maria River bridge groundbreaking ceremony, a North County Sub-Regional Committee meeting, a Maintenance Superintendents Association meeting, a retirement party for Patty Ellis at Addamo, a meeting at Rancho Buena Vista Mobile Home Estates, the Mayors' Ball, and Mayors' luncheon.

Councilmember Orach reported that he worked, played golf, and attended the City's employee BBQ.

Councilmember Cordero reported that he attended a meeting at Rancho Buena Vista Mobile Home Estates recovery event, Peace Week events, the City's employee BBQ, the Cruzin' for Life car show and dinner, did radio shows regarding Peace Week events, the VTC ribbon cutting ceremony and facility grand opening, Autumn Arts Grapes and Grains reception and festival, the Mayors' Ball, an Arts Council luncheon, a Future Search meeting, a car show in Orcutt, and the Santa Maria River bridge groundbreaking ceremony.

CLOSED SESSION:
The City Council recessed to a Closed Session at 8:00 p.m. to discuss CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR pursuant to Government Code Section 54957.6, Agency Representative: Assistant City Manager, Employee Organizations: Santa Maria Police Officers Assoc. (SMPOA); Service Employees Inter-national Union (SEIU) Local 620; Fire Fighters Local 2020; Public Safety Managers; and Non-Represented Management and Confidential Employee and PUBLIC EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENT pursuant to Government Code Section 54957, Title: City Manager.

After the Closed Session, the following report was given:

Conference with Labor Negotiator: Direction to staff was given.

Public Employee Appointment: Council unanimously appointed Rick Haydon as permanent City Manager effective December 31, 2011, and directed staff to prepare an employment agreement for open session action at the November 1, 2011, City Council meeting.

ADJOURNMENT
There being no further business to come before the City Council at this time, the meeting was declared adjourned at 9:08 p.m. by Mayor Lavagnino.

APPROVED

November 1, 2011
Date

Deputy City Clerk and ex officio Clerk of the City Council

ATTEST

Deputy City Clerk

Mayor